CLOSED CASE SUMMARY



ISSUED DATE: DECEMBER 31, 2018

CASE NUMBER: 2018OPA-0659

Allegations of Misconduct & Director's Findings

Named Employee #1

Allegation(s):		Director's Findings
# 1	8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
# 2	5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
	Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations	

Named Employee #2

Allegation(s):		Director's Findings
# 1	8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
# 2	5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
	Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations	

Named Employee #3

Allegation(s):		Director's Findings
# 1	8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
# 2	5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
	Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations	

Named Employee #4

Allegati	on(s):	Director's Findings
# 1	8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
# 2	5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
	Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations	

Named Employee #5

Allegati	on(s):	Director's Findings
# 1	8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
# 2	5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
	Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations	

Named Employee #6

Allegation(s):		Director's Findings
# 1	8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
# 2	5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged	Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)
	Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations	

This Closed Case Summary (CCS) represents the opinion of the OPA Director regarding the misconduct alleged and therefore sections are written in the first person.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Complainant alleged that the Named Employees subjected him to excessive force by breaking his wrists and legs and dragging him across the ground, which caused him injury. It was further alleged that the Named Employees failed to report potential serious misconduct.

ADMINISTRATIVE NOTE:

This case was designated as an Expedited Investigation. This means that OPA, with the OPA Auditor's review and approval, believed that it could reach and issue recommended findings based solely on its intake investigation and without interviewing the Named Employees. As such, the Named Employees were not interviewed as part of this case.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS:

Named Employee #1 - Allegation #1

8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized

Harbor units responded to a report of a vessel nearly striking other boats. The Named Employees responded, boarded the vessel and eventually placed the Complainant under arrest for Boating Under the Influence. The Complainant became extremely hostile and was screaming at and taunting the officers. The Complainant refused to cooperate and had to be lifted from the vessel to land and later assaulted the officers by kicking them and attempting to bite them. The Complainant further threatened to kill the officers and their children. The Complainant alleged that the Named Employees broke his wrists and his legs and caused him injury. The screening Sergeant referred this matter to OPA and this investigation ensued. After a completed intake, he further complained that the officers dragged him across the ground and dislocated his shoulder. This case was approved for an Expedited Investigation.

With regard to the specific nature of the force used, Body Worn Video (BWV) indicated that Named Employee #4 (NE#4) put his hand up to prevent the Complainant from encroaching into his space and further assisted Named Employee #6 (NE#6) and Named Employee #3 (NE#3) in prying the Complainant's fingers from the railing so that he could be handcuffed. Named Employee #2 (NE#2) assisted in placing the left hand behind his back and held him in place on the ground. NE#6 and NE#3 placed the Complainant in handcuffs.

SPD Policy 8.200(1) requires that force used by officers be reasonable, necessary and proportional. Whether force is reasonable depends "on the totality of the circumstances" known to the officers at the time of the force and must be balanced against "the rights of the subject, in light of the circumstances surrounding the event." (SPD Policy 8.200(1).) The policy lists a number of factors that should be weighed when evaluating reasonableness. (*See id.*) Force is necessary where "no reasonably effective alternative appears to exist, and only then to the degree which is reasonable to effect a lawful purpose." (*Id.*) Lastly, the force used must be proportional to the threat posed to the officer. (*Id.*)

The Named Employees used reasonable and necessary force to place the Complainant under arrest and keep him under control. Moreover, the Named Employees were faced with a belligerent, intoxicated individual who presented a danger to both the officers and himself. However, they handled themselves appropriately and consistent with policy. They not only attempted to de-escalate the situation prior to using force, but, when they were required to use force, they used the minimum amount needed to keep the Complainant under control and themselves safe from the Complainant's physical outbursts. Lastly, there is no evidence to support the Complainant's allegations of injuries. For these reasons, I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper as against all of the Named Employees.



CLOSE CASE SUMMARY

OPA CASE NUMBER: 2018OPA-0659

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)

Named Employee #1 - Allegation #2

5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations

The Named Employees properly reported the force that they used. Moreover, they further reported the Complainant's allegations of injuries, even though his claims were not supported by the evidence. Lastly, the Complainant's allegation of "police brutality" was reported to OPA by a supervisor as required.

For these reasons, I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained - Lawful and Proper as against all of the Named Employees.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)

Named Employee #2 - Allegation #1

8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized

For the same reasons as stated above (see Named Employee #1, Allegation #1), I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)

Named Employee #2 - Allegation #2

5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations

For the same reasons as stated above (see Named Employee #1, Allegation #2), I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)

Named Employee #3 - Allegation #1

8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized

For the same reasons as stated above (see Named Employee #1, Allegation #1), I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)

Seattle Office of Police Accountability

CLOSE CASE SUMMARY

OPA CASE NUMBER: 2018OPA-0659

Named Employee #3 - Allegation #2

5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations

For the same reasons as stated above (see Named Employee #1, Allegation #2), I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)

Named Employee #4 - Allegation #1

8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized

For the same reasons as stated above (see Named Employee #1, Allegation #1), I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)

Named Employee #4 - Allegation #2

5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations

For the same reasons as stated above (see Named Employee #1, Allegation #2), I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)

Named Employee #5 - Allegation #1

8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized

For the same reasons as stated above (see Named Employee #1, Allegation #1), I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)

Named Employee #5 - Allegation #2

5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations

For the same reasons as stated above (see Named Employee #1, Allegation #2), I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)



CLOSE CASE SUMMARY

OPA CASE NUMBER: 2018OPA-0659

Named Employee #6 - Allegation #1 8.200 - Using Force 1. Use of Force: When Authorized

For the same reasons as stated above (see Named Employee #1, Allegation #1), I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)

Named Employee #6 – Allegation #2 5.002 - Responsibilities of Employees Concerning Alleged Policy Violations 6. Employees Will Report Alleged Violations

For the same reasons as stated above (see Named Employee #1, Allegation #2), I recommend that this allegation be Not Sustained – Lawful and Proper.

Recommended Finding: Not Sustained (Lawful and Proper)